



**Meeting of Oct. 25, 2021-** continuation of Oct. 18, 2021 meeting

**Members present:** Bruce Evener, chair; T. Jones; D. Walker;  
B. McKee (ad hoc) joined the meeting late and B. Kedley attended intermittently via Zoom

**Members absent:** K. Berretta

**Staff present:** K. Druschel, CEO

**Guests:**  
Mark Costich, Brandon Martin,

The meeting was called to order by Chair Evener as a quorum was established at 12:15. The meeting was conducted in a hybrid manner with Board members and guests present in the village hall and guests attending by Zoom. Due to the absence of clerk, the minutes were taken by Chair Evener.

B. McKee voted in place of K. Berretta.

Appropriate announcements were published in the *Times of Wayne County*.

### **Long Form SEQR Part 3 for Martin's Tide Side Marina**

\*\*\*\*\*

Planning Board began filling out the SEQR pt 3 with Mark Costich of Costich Engineering facilitating the process. In Part 2 the impacts of the proposed project on the environment were evaluated. As the PB moved through all 18 sections and each of the sub-questions they discussed them, with occasional interjections by Costich and the applicant, and determined whether the projects would have NO/ Small impact or a Moderate/Large impact.

The PB identified three sections that would could have moderate/large impacts on the environment

Section 1 question d- *The proposed action may involve the excavation and removal of more than 1,000 tons of natural material*

Section 3 question c- *The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body.*

Section 4 question f- *The proposed action may require the bulk storage of petroleum or chemical products over ground water or an aquifer*

Chair, Bruce Evener expressed that he was troubled by the PB's answers to Section 9 questions

c- *The proposed action may be visible from publicly accessible vantage points:*

i. *Seasonally (e.g., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons)*

*ii. Year round*  
and

- d- *The situation or activity in which viewers are engaged while viewing the proposed action is:*  
*i. Routine travel by residents, including travel to and from work*  
*ii. Recreational or tourism based activities*

Evener stated that he felt that they should be changed to Moderate/Large impact. The PB members were polled and agreed to to change.

Mr. Martin was given time to present his case for changing the Moderate/ Large answers to No/Small impact. He said that he was caught off guard by the change to Question 9, but would try to address the PB's concern.

The PB then reviewed a draft narrative for SEQR Part 3 that Costich Engineering prepared for the Board at Chair, Evener's request. This draft was used to guide the Board through the process. As the Board proceeded to review the draft narrative Evener read aloud each response to each section of the SEQR Pt 2. As each response was read the PB members were asked for their thoughts about it and if they wanted to makes changes to it. The Board decided that with the conditions put in place in the Narrative that the issues given a Moderate/Large response would be mitigated and would have No/Little impact.

Mr. Martin was again to allowed to address the board and requested that we strike the conditions about not storing construction materials, construction waste, additional filling and debris at the CPLS in Section 9 of SEQR pt 2. The Board declined to do so.

A motion was made by D. Walker, to make a resolution declaring that given the conditions placed on the project that a 'Negative' impact finding for the SEQR be declared. Seconded by B. McKee. The motion passed with 4 members voting in favor, one abstention and one member absent.

A motion to adjourn was made by T. Jones; 2<sup>nd</sup> by D. Walker the motion passed. Meeting adjourned at 1:28.

The next require meeting is scheduled for Nov. 15, 2021 at 7 P.M.